## Transport by large-scale flows or Large-scale flows in stars

#### Michel Rieutord

Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Toulouse-Tarbes, Observatoire Midi-Pyrénées, France

7 juillet 2010

## Outline

#### Introduction

- 2 Dynamics of a stably stratified rotating fluid
  - The waves travelling in such a set-up
  - Baroclinicity
  - The thermal wind
- The large-scale flows in a rotating radiative zone
  - Von Zeipel theorem 1924
  - The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding
  - Zahn's approach (1992)
  - The effects of angular momentum losses

Introduction

Dynamics of a stably stratified rotating fluid The large-scale flows in a rotating radiative zone

## Outline

### Introduction

- Dynamics of a stably stratified rotating fluid
  - The waves travelling in such a set-up
  - Baroclinicity
  - The thermal wind
- 3 The large-scale flows in a rotating radiative zone
  - Von Zeipel theorem 1924
  - The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding
  - Zahn's approach (1992)
  - The effects of angular momentum losses

#### Introduction Why studying transport in stars?

- The abundance of elements at the surface of stars cannot be explained by the standard, hydrostatic models
- Rotational mixing seems necessary, although...

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

#### Introduction Why studying transport in stars?

- The abundance of elements at the surface of stars cannot be explained by the standard, hydrostatic models
- Rotational mixing seems necessary, although...

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

#### Introduction

Dynamics of a stably stratified rotating fluid The large-scale flows in a rotating radiative zone

#### Introduction Data from LMC/SMC



FIG.: Abundance of Nitrogen as a function of the rotational velocity for LMC (left) and SMC(right) (from Hunter et al. 2009).

< A >

→ Ξ → < Ξ</p>

#### Introduction Why studying transport in stars?

- But transport in stars is either very fast (thermal convection) or very slow (radiative regions).
- The difficulties have been increased by the misconceptions introduced by the Eddington-Sweet mechanism.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

#### Introduction Why studying transport in stars?

- But transport in stars is either very fast (thermal convection) or very slow (radiative regions).
- The difficulties have been increased by the misconceptions introduced by the Eddington-Sweet mechanism.

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

## Outline

#### Introduction

- 2 Dynamics of a stably stratified rotating fluid
  - The waves travelling in such a set-up
  - Baroclinicity
  - The thermal wind
- 3 The large-scale flows in a rotating radiative zone
  - Von Zeipel theorem 1924
  - The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding
  - Zahn's approach (1992)
  - The effects of angular momentum losses

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

## The equation of the flow

$$\rho\left(\frac{D\vec{v}}{Dt} + \underbrace{2\vec{\Omega} \wedge \vec{v}}_{\mathrm{I}} + \underbrace{\Omega^2 \vec{s}}_{\mathrm{II}}\right) = -\vec{\nabla}P + \underbrace{\rho \vec{g}}_{\mathrm{III}}$$

Terms I,II,III characterize the problem

- The Coriolis force  $2\vec{\Omega}\wedge\rho\vec{v}$  insures the conservation of angular momentum.
- The centrifugal force  $-\Omega^2 \vec{s}$  induces the baroclinicity of the set-up.
- The buoyancy force converts density fluctuations into fluid motion.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

## Outline

#### Introduction

- 2 Dynamics of a stably stratified rotating fluid
  - The waves travelling in such a set-up
  - Baroclinicity
  - The thermal wind
- 3 The large-scale flows in a rotating radiative zone
  - Von Zeipel theorem 1924
  - The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding
  - Zahn's approach (1992)
  - The effects of angular momentum losses

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

### The waves

Two sorts of waves arise in for a stably and rotating system

- Inertial waves due to Coriolis
- Gravity waves due to buoyancy

Together they make gravito-inertial (or inertia-gravity) waves where two subgroups are distinguished :

- Rossby waves
- Baroclinic waves

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

### The waves

Two sorts of waves arise in for a stably and rotating system

- Inertial waves due to Coriolis
- Gravity waves due to buoyancy

Together they make gravito-inertial (or inertia-gravity) waves where two subgroups are distinguished :

- Rossby waves
- Baroclinic waves

(4月) イヨト イヨト

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

## Inertial waves

#### Let the density be constant

$$\begin{cases} \rho \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \vec{e}_z \wedge \rho \vec{u} = -\vec{\nabla}P \\ \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(1)

If  $\vec{u}=\vec{u}(\vec{r})e^{i\omega t}$  then

$$\frac{\omega}{2\Omega} \le 1$$

Inertial waves are low frequency waves.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

#### Inertial waves The Poincaré equation

If the velocity is eliminated, pressure perturbations verify :

$$\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial y^2} - \left(\frac{4\Omega^2 - \omega^2}{\omega^2}\right)\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial z^2} = 0$$

which is **Poincaré equation**.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

## Inertial modes : their singularity

The Poincaré equation is spatially hyperbolic making the eigenmmodes solution of an ill-posed problem. Singularities arise ! Examples :

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

### Inertial modes



#### FIG.: A singular inertial mode.

Michel Rieutord Transport by large-scale flows or Large-scale flows in stars

(日) (同) (三) (三)

э

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

#### Inertial modes



#### FIG.: A regular inertial mode.

Michel Rieutord Transport by large-scale flows or Large-scale flows in stars

<ロト <部ト < 注ト < 注ト

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

#### Gravity modes The Brunt-Väisälä frequency

• This is the natural oscillation frequency of a fluid particle moved away from its equilibrium place. It shows the strength of the buoyancy force :

$$N^{2} = \frac{g}{T} \left( \frac{dT}{dr} - \frac{dT_{ad}}{dr} \right) = g \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{dP}{dr} - \frac{d\rho}{dr} \right)$$

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

## Gravity modes

Using the Boussinesq approximation :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \delta \vec{v}}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \vec{\nabla} \delta p + \frac{\delta \rho}{\rho} \vec{g} \\ \frac{\partial \delta T}{\partial t} + \delta \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} T_0 = 0 \\ \vec{\nabla} \cdot \delta \vec{v} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(2)

with  $\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} = -\alpha\delta T$ .

(日) (同) (三) (三)

## Gravity modes

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

Consider time-periodic disturbances. The pressure fluctuations verify :

$$\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial y^2} - \left(\frac{\omega^2}{N^2 - \omega^2}\right) \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial z^2} = 0$$

Again the Poincaré equation shows up ! Gravity modes are also low frequency modes, namely  $\omega < N$ .

(日) (同) (三) (三)

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

## Gravito-inertial modes

## When the fluid is rotating AND stably stratified, gravity and inertial modes combine into gravito-inertial modes. Examples :

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

### Gravito-inertial modes



FIG.: A regular (left) and singular (right) gravito-inertial mode.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

### Rossby waves

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

They are a sub-class of inertial modes. Namey, inertial modes that meet an additional constraint. Two examples :

- In the Earth atmosphere all inertial modes with  $v_z \ll v_x, v_y$
- In stars *r*-modes invented by Papaloizou et Pringle (1978) where  $v_r = 0$

$$v_{\theta} = Ar^{m}(\sin\theta)^{m-1}\sin(m\phi + 2\Omega t/(m+1))$$
$$v_{\phi} = Ar^{m}(\sin\theta)^{m-1}\cos\theta\cos(m\phi + 2\Omega t/(m+1))$$

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

## Outline

#### Introduction

#### 2 Dynamics of a stably stratified rotating fluid

- The waves travelling in such a set-up
- Baroclinicity
- The thermal wind

#### 3 The large-scale flows in a rotating radiative zone

- Von Zeipel theorem 1924
- The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding
- Zahn's approach (1992)
- The effects of angular momentum losses

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

#### The condition for the existence of a hydrostatic solution An introduction to baroclinicity

The condition for the existence of a hydrostatic solution

 $\begin{aligned} -\vec{\nabla}P - \rho\vec{\nabla}\Phi &= \vec{0} & \text{Mechanical equilibrium} \\ \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\chi\vec{\nabla}T) &= 0 & \text{Thermal equilibrium} \\ f(P, \rho, T) &= 0 & \text{Equation of state} \end{aligned}$ 

 $\vec{\nabla}\Phi$  is prescribed or  $\Delta\Phi = 4\pi G\rho - 2\Omega^2$ .

(4月) (日) (日) 日

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

## Baroclinicity

#### The mechanical equilibrium is possible if

$$\vec{\nabla}\times\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\vec{\nabla}P\right)=\vec{0}\Longleftrightarrow\vec{\nabla}\rho\wedge\vec{\nabla}P=\vec{0}$$

i.e. the gradients of P and  $\rho$  are parallel.

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

## Baroclinicity

#### But

$$\frac{\partial Eos}{\partial P}dP + \frac{\partial Eos}{\partial \rho}d\rho + \frac{\partial Eos}{\partial T}dT = 0$$

If isobars and isotherms are the same, then on such surfaces

$$\frac{\partial Eos}{\partial T}dT = 0$$

thus

- $\bullet$  either  $\frac{\partial Eos}{\partial T}=0$  i.e.  $f(P,\rho)=0$  and the fluid is barotropic
- or dT = 0 and isobars are also isotherms (and equipotentials).

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

## Baroclinicity

# Generally, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial T} \neq 0$ and isotherms are fixed by thermal equilibrium. They are usually different from isobars.

Therefore, usually, on an isobar  $dT \neq 0...$ 

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

## Baroclinicity

Generally,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial T} \neq 0$  and isotherms are fixed by thermal equilibrium. They are usually different from isobars.

Therefore, usually, on an isobar  $dT \neq 0...$ 

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The waves travelling in such a set-up **Baroclinicity** The thermal wind

## Baroclinicity



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

э

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

## The baroclinic torque

The equation of vorticity

$$\frac{\partial \vec{\omega}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{v}) = \frac{1}{\rho^2} \vec{\nabla} \rho \wedge \vec{\nabla} P$$

shows that baroclinicity is giving rise to a torque.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

## Example : the double-paned window



- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

#### Double-paned windows Temperature field

We assume the panes to be infinite and separated by d. The warm one is at x = -d/2 and the cold one at x = d/2. A steady state for the temperature field implies :

$$\delta T(x) = -(T_c - T_f)x/d$$
$$\delta \rho = \frac{\alpha (T_c - T_f)\rho_0}{d}x$$

(4月) (日) (日)

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

#### Double-paned windows Velocity field

Let's consider a quasi-incompressible fluid with small amplitude motions.

$$\begin{cases} -\vec{\nabla}\delta P + \delta\rho\vec{g} + \mu\Delta\vec{v} = 0\\ \vec{v}\cdot\vec{\nabla}T_{eq} = \kappa\Delta\delta T\\ \vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{v} = 0\\ \frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} = -\alpha\delta T \end{cases}$$
(3)

In our case  $\vec{\nabla}T_{eq} = \vec{0}$  since equibrium is possible only if T is constant.

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

## Double-paned windows Velocity field

The velocity field must satisfy :

$$\mu \Delta \vec{v} - \vec{\nabla} \delta P = \frac{\alpha (T_c - T_f) \rho_0 g}{d} x \vec{e_z} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$$

The baroclinic torque is balanced by the viscous torque :

$$\mu \Delta \vec{\omega} = \frac{\alpha (T_c - T_f) \rho_0 g}{d} \vec{e}_x \wedge \vec{e}_z$$

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6
The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

# Double-paned windows

The equations are easily solved for the velocity field and give :

$$v_z(x) = \frac{\alpha (T_c - T_f) \rho_0 g}{24\mu d} x (d^2 - 4x^2)$$
(4)

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

# Double-paned windows



FIG.: The flow within a double-paned windows.

(日)

3

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

### Outline

#### Introduction

#### 2 Dynamics of a stably stratified rotating fluid

- The waves travelling in such a set-up
- Baroclinicity
- The thermal wind
- 3 The large-scale flows in a rotating radiative zone
  - Von Zeipel theorem 1924
  - The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding
  - Zahn's approach (1992)
  - The effects of angular momentum losses

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

#### Second example : the thermal wind

We consider now a baroclinic state of a rotating fluid. Velocities are still weak enough for the problem to be linear.

$$2\vec{\Omega}\wedge\vec{v} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}\vec{\nabla}\delta P - \alpha\delta T\vec{g}$$

In this case the baroclinic torque is balance by the torque coming from the Coriolis force :

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (2\vec{\Omega} \wedge \vec{v}) = \vec{\nabla} \times (\alpha g \delta T \vec{e}_z)$$

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

#### Second example : the thermal wind

We consider now a baroclinic state of a rotating fluid. Velocities are still weak enough for the problem to be linear.

$$2\vec{\Omega} \wedge \vec{v} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \vec{\nabla} \delta P - \alpha \delta T \vec{g}$$

In this case the baroclinic torque is balance by the torque coming from the Coriolis force :

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (2\vec{\Omega} \wedge \vec{v}) = \vec{\nabla} \times (\alpha g \delta T \vec{e}_z)$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

#### The thermal wind

# Note that the solution of the thermal wind are degenerate : Any geostrophic flow can be added, because

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (2\vec{\Omega} \wedge \vec{v}_{\rm geo}) = \vec{0}$$

Viscosity removes this degeneracy.

(日) (同) (日) (日) (日)

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

#### The thermal wind Example of a specific solution

Let the temperature field be given by :

 $\delta T = \delta T_0 \sin(kx)$ 

The solution of the flow equations gives

$$\vec{v}_{\rm th} = \left(\frac{\alpha g \delta T_0}{2\Omega}\right) z k \cos k x \vec{e_y}$$

In an inertial frame the distribution of angular has changed because of the baroclinic torque.

- 4 周 ト 4 戸 ト 4 戸 ト

The waves travelling in such a set-up Baroclinicity The thermal wind

#### The thermal wind



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

э

/on Zeipel theorem 1924 Fhe Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) Fhe effects of angular momentum losses

## Outline

#### Introduction

- 2 Dynamics of a stably stratified rotating fluid
  - The waves travelling in such a set-up
  - Baroclinicity
  - The thermal wind
- The large-scale flows in a rotating radiative zone
  - Von Zeipel theorem 1924
  - The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding
  - Zahn's approach (1992)
  - The effects of angular momentum losses

- 4 同 2 4 日 2 4 日 2

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

### Outline

#### Introduction

- 2 Dynamics of a stably stratified rotating fluid
  - The waves travelling in such a set-up
  - Baroclinicity
  - The thermal wind
- 3 The large-scale flows in a rotating radiative zone
  - Von Zeipel theorem 1924
  - The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding
  - Zahn's approach (1992)
  - The effects of angular momentum losses

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

#### Von Zeipel theorem 1924

Von Zeipel shows that mechanical equilibrium is not compatible with radiative equilibrium in a rigidly rotating (non-magnetic) star. If it were true then we would find a paradox !

Let  $\Phi = \phi_g + \phi_c$  be the full potential

$$\Delta \phi_g = 4\pi G \rho$$
 and  $\phi_c = -\frac{1}{2} \Omega^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta$ 

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

#### Von Zeipel theorem 1924

Von Zeipel shows that mechanical equilibrium is not compatible with radiative equilibrium in a rigidly rotating (non-magnetic) star. If it were true then we would find a paradox ! Let  $\Phi = \phi_g + \phi_c$  be the full potential

$$\Delta \phi_g = 4\pi G \rho$$
 and  $\phi_c = -\frac{1}{2} \Omega^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta$ 

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

#### Von Zeipel theorem 1924

If a static equilibrium exists, then

$$P \equiv P(\Phi), \quad \rho \equiv \rho(\Phi), \quad T \equiv T(\Phi)$$

i.e. isobars, isochores, isotherms and equipotentials are identical. Radiative balance without heat sources demands :

$$\vec{\nabla}\cdot (\chi(\Phi)\vec{\nabla}T(\Phi))=0$$

$$\iff \chi T' \Delta \Phi + (T" + \chi' T') (\vec{\nabla} \Phi)^2 = 0$$

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

#### Von Zeipel theorem 1924

#### Thus



 $\vec{\nabla}\Phi$  is just the *effective gravity* not constant on an equipotential  $(\vec{g}_{\mathrm{pole}} \neq \vec{g}_{\mathrm{eq}})$ .

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

#### Von Zeipel theorem 1924

Von Zeipel rightly concludes that the static solution is impossible. In 1925 Vogt and Eddington, independently, suggest the existence of a meridional circulation...

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

### Outline

#### Introduction

- 2 Dynamics of a stably stratified rotating fluid
  - The waves travelling in such a set-up
  - Baroclinicity
  - The thermal wind
- The large-scale flows in a rotating radiative zone
  - Von Zeipel theorem 1924
  - The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding
  - Zahn's approach (1992)
  - The effects of angular momentum losses

- 4 同 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 **The Eddington-Sweet** misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

#### Les errements d'Eddington-Sweet et de la littérature

Sweet 1950 tried to translated the ideas of Vogt and Eddington into an expression of the flows but did not fully understood these ideas...

Here is the kind of reasoning that can be found in the literature since that time : Since  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\chi \vec{\nabla} T) \neq 0$  and since we are looking for a steady solution, the flow is such that :

$$\rho c_v(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}T) = \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\chi \vec{\nabla}T)$$

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 **The Eddington-Sweet** misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

#### Les errements d'Eddington-Sweet et de la littérature

Sweet 1950 tried to translated the ideas of Vogt and Eddington into an expression of the flows but did not fully understood these ideas...

Here is the kind of reasoning that can be found in the literature since that time :

Since  $\vec{\nabla}\cdot(\chi\vec{\nabla}T)\neq 0$  and since we are looking for a steady solution, the flow is such that :

$$\rho c_v(\vec{v}\cdot\vec{\nabla}T)=\vec{\nabla}\cdot(\chi\vec{\nabla}T)$$

(4月) (4日) (4日)

which leads to the radial component of the meridional flow :

$$\rho c_v v_r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \simeq \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\chi \vec{\nabla} T)$$

while  $v_{\theta}$  is derived from mass conservation.

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 \rho v_r) + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin \theta \rho v_\theta) = 0$$

(日) (同) (三) (三)

#### The problem

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

# Such a velocity field is not a solution of the momentum equation : Angular momentum is not conserved.

Actually, the role of viscosity is crucial and that was already percieved by Eddington and worked out by Randers 1941. However, viscosity is weak and its efficiency is counter-intuitive...

(日) (同) (三) (三)

#### The problem

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

Such a velocity field is not a solution of the momentum equation : Angular momentum is not conserved.

Actually, the role of viscosity is crucial and that was already percieved by Eddington and worked out by Randers 1941. However, viscosity is weak and its efficiency is counter-intuitive....

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

#### Some landmarks of the literature

Mestel (1961) avoids the problem with magnetic field ! But usually, the Sweet solution is reproduced :

- Rose 1998 Advance Stellar Physics
- Kippenhan and Weigert 1990 *Stellar structure and evolution* note the angular momentum problem but does not go further.
- Schatzman et Praderie 1985 Les étoiles

(4月) (4日) (4日)

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 **The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding** Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

#### The solutions with no circulation

Some authors (Schwarzschild 1947, Baker and Kippenhahn 1959, Roxburgh 1964) noticed that if the differential rotation was correctly adjusted no circulation was necessary to solve the problem.

But these solutions were thought to be unrealistic, probably because their origin could not be identified or thought to be unstable.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

Busse 1981 : "Do Eddington-Sweet Circulations exist?"

Poser la question c'est y répondre ... Some obvious remarks

- Angular momentum conservation stops any meridional circulation if no other force comes into play.
- If the mechanical balance is fixed and if radiave balance is not insured, the temperature field changes.

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

#### Initial conditions

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 **The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding** Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

In fact the question is that of initial conditions : one starts from a thermally unbalanced set-up. So

- either we converge to a universal solution after the damping of a transient
- or the transient is very long...

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 **The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding** Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

# Studying the transient with a simplified model in cartesian geometry

#### We follow Busse 1981 (GAFD 17, p215) :



FIG.: The baroclinic set-up

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 **The Eddington-Sweet msunderstanding** Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

In this set-up the steady-state is the thermal wind solution. Busse wonders about the way this solution arises from arbitrary conditions.

What is the transient flow and which time scale controls its evolution?

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 **The Eddington-Sweet msunderstanding** Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

In this set-up the steady-state is the thermal wind solution. Busse wonders about the way this solution arises from arbitrary conditions.

What is the transient flow and which time scale controls its evolution?

(日) (同) (三) (三)

#### Busse's solution

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

The answer given by Busse's model is : if

$$\vec{v} = \left\{ v(x,z)\vec{e}_y + \vec{\nabla} \times (\Psi(x,z)\vec{e}_y) \right\} e^{\lambda t}$$

(namely, axisymmetric solutions);  $\lambda = -\sigma + i\omega$ , then

$$\left[ (\omega^2 + 2i\omega\sigma)\Delta - 4\Omega^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - N^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left( 1 - \frac{i\kappa}{\omega} \Delta \right) \right] \Psi = 0$$

whose solution are gravito-inertial modes

(4月) (4日) (4日)

#### More explicitely

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 **The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding** Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

$$\begin{split} \Psi &= \cos(mkx)\sin\left(n\pi(z/d+1/2)\right)\\ V &= \frac{2\Omega n\pi}{i\omega}\cos(mkx)\cos\left(n\pi(z/d+1/2)\right)\\ \theta &= \frac{\beta mk}{i\omega\rho_0}\sin(mkx)\sin\left(n\pi(z/d+1/2)\right)\\ \omega^2 &= \frac{4\Omega^2 n^2 + N^2(mkd/\pi)}{n^2 + (kd/\pi)^2}\\ \sigma &= \kappa k^2 m^2 \frac{N^2}{2\omega^2} \end{split}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

э

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

#### Baroclinic modes

$$\theta = \sin(mkx)\sin(n\pi(z/d+1/2))$$

$$V = \frac{mk\rho\alpha gd}{2\Omega k\pi}\cos(mkx)\cos(n\pi(z/d+1/2))$$

$$\Psi = \mathcal{O}(\kappa/d^2)$$

$$\omega^2 = 0$$

$$\sigma = \frac{\kappa}{d^2} \frac{n^2\pi^2 + m^2k^2}{1 + N^2/4\Omega^2 (mk/n\pi)^2}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

э

#### Comments

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

which need to be completed by the geostrophic mode and purely thermal disturbances.

The completeness of the Fourier basis insures the unicity of the decomposition of the initial condition.

The evolution of the transient is thus controled by least damped modes, i.e. the large-scale ones.

To get a spherical model, Busse writes the equivalences :

$$d \sim R, \qquad k \sim 2/R$$

(日) (同) (三) (三)

#### Thus two time-scales characterize the damping of the transient :

$$\sigma_{GI} \sim \frac{4\kappa}{R^2} \qquad \sigma_{\rm baro} \sim \frac{(\pi^2 + 4)\pi^2}{4} \eta \frac{\kappa}{R^2}$$
  
where  $\eta = \frac{4\Omega^2}{N^2} < 1$ .  
These time-scale are well-known :

•  $T_{KH} = \frac{n}{\kappa}$  Thermal diffusion time (i.e. Kelvin-Helmoltz time) •  $T_{ED} = T_{KH}/\eta$  Eddington-Sweet time

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 **The Eddington-Sweet msunderstanding** Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

#### For rapid rotators

#### $\eta \lesssim 1$

and a steady-state is reach after a KH time. For slow rotators,  $\eta \ll 1$ , (e.g. the Sun with  $\eta \sim 10^{-4}$ ), baroclinic modes are vanishing very slowly, therefore initial conditions are crucial.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

#### Meridional circulation?

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 **The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding** Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

During the transient can we face a meridional circulation?

- Yes thanks to gravito-inertial modes, but they disappear after a few  $T_{KH}. \label{eq:rescaled}$
- if  $\eta \ll 1,$  baroclinic modes are present but their meridional circulation is very weak :

$$(v_r, v_\theta) \sim \frac{\kappa}{\Omega R^2} v_\phi \ll v_\phi$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### Ekman layers

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

- To complete the picture Busse considers the Ekman layers as other possible sources of circulation.
- He finds that they are still weaker.
- He concludes with a discussion of the stability of the baroclinic flow.

To summarize, Busse shows that a self-consistent model of a steady state of a stably stratified rotating fluid works in the following way :

(日) (同) (三) (三)
# Ekman layers

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

- To complete the picture Busse considers the Ekman layers as other possible sources of circulation.
- He finds that they are still weaker.
- He concludes with a discussion of the stability of the baroclinic flow.

To summarize, Busse shows that a self-consistent model of a steady state of a stably stratified rotating fluid works in the following way :

# Ekman layers

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

- To complete the picture Busse considers the Ekman layers as other possible sources of circulation.
- He finds that they are still weaker.
- He concludes with a discussion of the stability of the baroclinic flow.

To summarize, Busse shows that a self-consistent model of a steady state of a stably stratified rotating fluid works in the following way :

# Ekman layers

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

- To complete the picture Busse considers the Ekman layers as other possible sources of circulation.
- He finds that they are still weaker.
- He concludes with a discussion of the stability of the baroclinic flow.

To summarize, Busse shows that a self-consistent model of a steady state of a stably stratified rotating fluid works in the following way :

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 **The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding** Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses



## Eddington-Sweet?

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 **The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding** Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

The final word of Busse :

"... there are no special limits in which the Eddington-Sweet theory provides a correct solution of the basic equations". Busse 1982, ApJ, **259**, 759

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

# Outline

#### Introduction

- 2 Dynamics of a stably stratified rotating fluid
  - The waves travelling in such a set-up
  - Baroclinicity
  - The thermal wind

#### 3 The large-scale flows in a rotating radiative zone

- Von Zeipel theorem 1924
- The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding
- Zahn's approach (1992)
- The effects of angular momentum losses

- 4 同 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

# Zahn's approach 1992

Zahn (1992) worked out Busse's idea, in the frame work of 1D stellar models.

The main idea : if there is a differential rotation, then it is essentially radial because the turbulence of a stably stratified fluid diffuses more efficiently horizontally than vertically.

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

The model solves three equations

• Advection-diffusion of angular momentum

$$\frac{1}{5r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\rho r^4 U\Omega) + \frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^4\nu_h\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r}\right) = 0$$

where  $v_r = U(r)P_2(\cos\theta)$ 

Baroclinicity

$$-\frac{\vec{\nabla}\rho\wedge\vec{\nabla}P}{\rho^2}=\vec{\nabla}\Omega^2\wedge s\vec{e_s}$$

• Thermal imbalance

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\chi \vec{\nabla} T) + \rho \varepsilon = \rho c_v \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} T$$

or

$$U(r) = \frac{L}{Mg} \frac{P}{C_p \rho T} \left( \frac{E_{\Omega} + E_{\mu}}{\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}} - \nabla} \right)$$

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

## A schematic view



Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

## or the other way round



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

#### Important arrows



Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

## Something not to do

Some authors are keen on leaving aside the last two branches, reasoning this way :

$$\frac{d\Omega}{dr} = 0 \implies E_{\Omega} = 2\left(1 - \frac{\Omega^2}{4\pi G\rho} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_m}\right)\frac{\tilde{g}}{\bar{g}} \neq 0$$
$$\implies U(r) \neq 0$$

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

thus reproducing the inconsistencies of Sweet 1950...

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

## Are slow rotators a good alibi?

To justify the use of Sweet's reasoning, it is often said that only slow rotators are considered, for

$$t_{\rm ES} = \frac{t_{\rm KH}}{\varepsilon} \gg t_{\rm nuc}$$

For instance, Osaki 1982 writes that the meridional circulation is coming from a very very long transient...

However, the temperature field evolves on a KH time-scale so as to verify  $\vec{\nabla}\cdot(\chi\vec{\nabla}T)=0.$ 

(4月) (4日) (4日)

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

Baroclinic modes are damped very slowly, but their meridional circulation is very small. Their main effect is to start an appropriate differential rotation.

Finally, the meridional flow in such stars is very much sensitive to the initial conditions, especially to the slightest differential rotation.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

# Conclusion

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

Rotational mixing in slow rotators cannot be discussed without a precise knowledge of their rotation history.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

# Outline

#### Introduction

- 2 Dynamics of a stably stratified rotating fluid
  - The waves travelling in such a set-up
  - Baroclinicity
  - The thermal wind

#### The large-scale flows in a rotating radiative zone

- Von Zeipel theorem 1924
- The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding
- Zahn's approach (1992)
- The effects of angular momentum losses

- 4 同 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

#### The spin-down problem In a sphere



(a)

Introduction Dynamics of a stably stratified rotating fluid The large-scale flows in a rotating radiative zone The effects of angular momentum losses

The characteristic time is

$$T \sim (\delta \Omega E^{1/2})^{-1}$$

which is controlled by Ekman circulation : it transfers angular momentum from the walls to the interior.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

The effects of angular momentum losses

## The case of stars

Mass loss coupled with magnetic fields induces important losses of angular momentum : this is Magnetic Braking.



Michel Rieutord

Transport by large-scale flows or Large-scale flows in stars

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

#### Magnetic Braking Ferraro's law

Let's assume the magnetic field be frozen in the star and that everything is in a steady state. Then

$$\vec{\nabla}\times(\vec{v}\wedge\vec{B})=\vec{0}$$

If the velocity field is just a (differential) rotation, namely

 $\vec{v} = r\sin\theta\Omega(r,\theta)\vec{e}_{\varphi}$ 

and the magnetic field is axisymmetric,  $\vec{B}\equiv\vec{B}(r,\theta)$  then

$$(\vec{B}\cdot\vec{\nabla})\Omega=0$$

which is Ferraro's law, saying that  $\Omega$  is constant along field lines (Ferraro 1937).

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

# Magnetic Braking

A new time scale appears in the star's dynamics

which needs to be compared to the time scale with which angular momentum is carried by flows inside the star, namely  $t_{\rm ES}$ ,

 $\frac{L}{\dot{L}}$ 

$$t_{\rm ES} < \frac{L}{\dot{L}}$$
 weak wind  
 $t_{\rm ES} > \frac{L}{\dot{L}}$  strong wind

- 4 同 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

## The weak wind

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

A torque is exerted on the star but its dynamical reaction is quick : meridional circulation adjusts almost immediatly :

$$\frac{dL}{dt} \sim \rho R^4 \Omega U$$

The angular momentum loss drives the meridional flow, and thus the mixing.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

# Strong wind

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

The meridional circulation due to baroclinicity cannot cope with the loss of angular momentum  $\implies$  the differential rotation increases and shear layers arise. Circulation now looks like Ekman pumping in a stratified fluid, controlled by a turbulent boundary layer...

(日)

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

## Some words of conclusions

- The main effect of baroclinicity is differential rotation.
- In a steady state the meridional circulation is weak effect due to viscosity.
- All terms in the flow expression are important...
- The Eddington-Sweet model is never right.
- Using Zahn's model, do not truncate it !

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

## Some words of conclusions

- The main effect of baroclinicity is differential rotation.
- In a steady state the meridional circulation is weak effect due to viscosity.
- All terms in the flow expression are important...
- The Eddington-Sweet model is never right.
- Using Zahn's model, do not truncate it !

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

## Some words of conclusions

- The main effect of baroclinicity is differential rotation.
- In a steady state the meridional circulation is weak effect due to viscosity.
- All terms in the flow expression are important...
- The Eddington-Sweet model is never right.
- Using Zahn's model, do not truncate it !

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

## Some words of conclusions

- The main effect of baroclinicity is differential rotation.
- In a steady state the meridional circulation is weak effect due to viscosity.
- All terms in the flow expression are important...
- The Eddington-Sweet model is never right.
- Using Zahn's model, do not truncate it !

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

## Some words of conclusions

- The main effect of baroclinicity is differential rotation.
- In a steady state the meridional circulation is weak effect due to viscosity.
- All terms in the flow expression are important...
- The Eddington-Sweet model is never right.
- Using Zahn's model, do not truncate it !

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses

# A final illustration



FIG.: A completely radiative star rotating at 80% of the critical velocity (from Rieutord and Espinosa Lara 2009).

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Introduction Dynamics of a stably stratified rotating fluid The large-scale flows in a rotating radiative zone	Von Zeipel theorem 1924 The Eddington-Sweet misunderstanding Zahn's approach (1992) The effects of angular momentum losses



▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶